

Boeing Defense, Space & Security Phantom Works

Strategic Development and Experimentation

Experimentation Estimating with ACEIT

Karen Mourikas SD&E - Experimentation Denise Nelson BR&T – Affordability January 2010

Copyright © 2009 Boeing. All rights reserved

How to Estimate Costs of Simulation-based Experimentation

Boeing Defense, Space & Security | Phantom Works

Problem

- Need to estimate the cost of simulation-based experimentation
 - Accurately
 - Consistent approach
 - Easy to use
 - Defendable estimates
 - Value-added
- Solution
 - Develop a standard process and toolkit to estimate the cost and schedule of simulation-based experimentation
 - Using standard cost estimating tools
 - Incorporating standard processes
 - Based on historical data



Outline

Boeing Defense, Space & Security | Phantom Works

Problem to be addressed



- Simulation-based Experimentation
 - In a Nutshell



- Experimentation Cost Estimating
 - Process and Toolkit



- Implementation in ACEIT
- Demo



Experimentation

Boeing Defense, Space & Security | Phantom Works

- Definition of Experimentation *
 - The process of conducting a test under controlled conditions to
 - demonstrate a known truth,
 - examine the validity of a hypothesis, or
 - determine the efficacy of something previously untried.
- Experimentation in general
 - Consists of gathering and examining data
 - Explores and Answers Questions with Analyses and Observations



SCIENCE FAIR





* www.thefreedictionary.com

Copyright © 2009 Boeing. All rights reserved.

Simulation-based Experimentation

- Simulation-based Experimentation
 - Conducting experiments with the use of virtual and constructive
 - Models
 - Simulations
 - Visualizations
 - Live-Virtual-Constructive (LVC) Components
 - Live : Real people operating real systems
 - Virtual : Real people operating simulated systems
 - Constructive : Automated systems simulating the actions of people and systems





Focus of Experimentation Data Collection



Proposed Solution

Boeing Defense, Space & Security | Phantom Works

- Develop a cost estimating toolkit that is
 - Easy to use
 - Excel-like interface / Web-based GUI (future development)
 - Limited inputs required
 - Quick estimates and standard reports produced
 - Incorporates Standard Processes and Terminology
 - Boeing and Industry
 - Experimentation and Cost Estimating
 - Based on comprehensive, historical Boeing data
 - 55 completed experimentation projects: cost and scope data
 - Multiple sites, organizations, project type and scope
 - Value-added to the users
 - Not just another task to do





Affordability



Experimentation Estimating Process

Boeing Defense, Space & Security | Phantom Works

Five Step Iterative Process

- Identify
 - Identify past, current, and future Experimentation projects
- Collect
 - Interview Project leads for scope and cost data (pre and post project)
 - Maintain & Update database of Experimentation projects
- Analyze
 - Perform Statistical Analysis
 - Determine relation between cost/schedule and predictors
 - Validate and Calibrate
- Model
 - Develop cost estimating model
 - Create standard report templates
- Estimate
 - Cost of new projects using CostX model (pre-project)











Process: Identify & Collect Project Data

- 55 Completed Projects
 - 31 in work to be collected
- Various project types
 - M&S development & integration
 - Constructive Analysis
 - Virtual OITL simulations
- Multiple organizations
 - Across Boeing
- Leverage reuse
 - Simulations
 - Environment
 - Team Management
 - Data / Analysis
- Scope & Complexity
 - Short to long range duration
 - Simple to Complex simulations and integration

| | Proj Info | Complexity | | Proj Info Complexity Execution | | Co | ost/S | Sch | ed | |
|---------------|------------|------------|---------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------|-----|---------|-----------------------|
| Project ID | POC | Туре | Num Sys | VCL | Num MOEs | Num Sites | Mths | Cos | t (\$K) | POP |
| a1 | John Smith | Modeling | 5 | С | 0 | 1 | 4 | \$ | 90 | 4Q 2008 mar 07- |
| a2 | Pocahontas | Analysis | 12 | С | 4 | 2 | 10 | \$ | 450 | dec 07 |

| | | | | | | | | | 0.0 | |
|----|---------------|----------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| a3 | | | Reusability | | | | | | Теа | am |
| a4 | Project ID | Modeling | Tool Developmt | Tool Integration | Environment | Programatic | Data Collection | Analysis Results | Individual Experience | Team Dynamics |
| a5 | a1 | В | В | E+ | A | A | В | А | E | E |
| a6 | a2 | С | А | B- | В | В | С | B- | B+ | В |
| | a3 | Е | В | А | А | Е | Е | С | С | D |
| | a4 | С | С | А | Е | D | А | В | D | Е |
| | а5 | В | В | А | В | А | В | А | А | В |
| | a6 | Е | В | Е | В | D | Е | D | В | В |

Process: Statistical Analysis

- Database constantly changing
 - Baselined database (configuration control)
 - To be updated 2 times per year
- Statistical Analysis
 - Current approach: Random Forests due to small number of data points and large number of potential predictors
 - Optional approach: Linear / Multivariate Regression
 - Issue with data
 - Lots of qualitative (categorical) data
 - Relatively small dataset
- Random Forests simulate multiple decision trees
 - Results in a cost number
 - Not a parametric equation



Process: Model & Estimate



Cost-X User Interface Prototype



ACE Import Data Sheet

| | | Cost or Non-cost |
|----------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| WBS/CES Description | Link ID | Total |
| | | |
| Identification | | |
| Point of Contact | POC | Karen |
| Project Name | ProjName | 10_Karen_Test |
| Start Date (MMYY) | Start_Dt | 01Jan2010 |
| End Date (MMYY) | End_Dt | 30Mar2010 |
| | | |
| Labor Data | | |
| Main Labor Rate | Labor_Rt | 150 |
| Main Labor Site | Labor_Site | HB |
| | | |
| Scope Data | | |
| Type of Project | Proj_Type | Constr Analysis |
| LVC Category | LVC_Type | С |
| Number of Unique Systems | Systems_N | 1 < 9 |
| Number of MOEs | MOE_N | 4 |
| Number of Sites During Execution | Sites_N | 2 |
| | | |
| Analogous Project | Proj_Base | 09KarenTest |
| | | |
| ReUse Factors | | |
| Modeling | Model_ReF | В |
| Tool Development | Tool_ReF | A |
| Tool Integration | Int_ReF | В |
| Environment | Env_ReF | D |
| Programmatics | PM_ReF | С |
| Data Collection | Data_ReF | E |
| Analysis Results | Analysis_ReF | A |
| Individual Experience | Exp_ReF | В |
| Team Dynamics | Team_ReF | С |
| | | |

- Data from Excel User Interface linked to ACE Import Data Sheet
- Excel-ACE Plug-in loads data from Import Data sheet into ACE session

ACE Import Data Sheet

| | WBS/CES Description | EXCEL_ELEMENT _EXTERNAL_COD | EXCEL_TNC (!) Total (Non-Cost) |
|-----|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 230 | Identification | | |
| 231 | * Point of Contact | POC | Karen |
| 232 | * Project Name | ProjName | 10_Karen_Test |
| 233 | Start Date (MMYY) | Start_Dt | 01Jan2010 |
| 234 | End Date (MMYY) | End_Dt | 30Mar2010 |
| 235 | | | |
| 236 | Labor Data | | |
| 237 | Main Labor Rate | Labor_Rt | 150 |
| 238 | * Main Labor Site | Labor_Site | HB |
| 239 | | | |
| 240 | Scope Data | | |
| 241 | * Type of Project | Proj_Type | Constr Analysis |
| 242 | LVC Category | LVC_Type | C |
| 243 | Number of Unique Systems | Systems_N | 1 < 9 |
| 244 | Number of MOEs | MOE_N | 4 |
| 245 | Number of Sites During Execution | Sites_N | 2 |
| 246 | | | |
| 247 | * Analogous Project | Proj_Base | 09KarenTest |
| 248 | | | |
| 249 | ReUse Factors | | |
| 250 | Modeling | Model_ReF | В |
| 251 | Tool Development | Tool_ReF | A |
| 252 | Tool Integration | Int_ReF | В |
| 253 | Environment | Env_ReF | D |
| 254 | Programmatics | PM_ReF | C |
| 255 | Data Collection | Data_ReF | E |
| 256 | Analysis Results | Analysis_ReF | A |
| 257 | Individual Experience | | P |

- Excel-ACE Plug-in loads data from Import Data sheet into ACE session
- Values stored in Excel_TNC field /

| WBS/CES Description | EXCEL_ELEMENT _EXTERNAL_COD | EXCEL_TNC (I) Total (Non-Cost) | EX E× |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| Identification | | | (|
| * Point of Contact | POC | Karen | (|
| * Project Name | ProjName | 10_Karen_Test | (|
| Start Date (MMYY) | Start_Dt | 01Jan2010 | (|
| End Date (MMYY) | End_Dt | 30Mar2010 | (|
| | | | (|
| Labor Data | | | (|
| Main Labor Rate | Labor_Rt | 150 | (|
| * Main Labor Site | Labor_Site | HB | (|
| | | | (|

ACE Model Inputs

| WBS/CES Description | Unique ID | Equation / Throughput | Point Estimate |
|------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|----------------|
| *User Inputs | | | |
| | | | |
| *Identification | | | |
| *POC | POC | | |
| *Project Name | Proj_Name | | |
| *Period of Performance | | | |
| Start Date | ST_DT | XL_Start_Dt.EXCEL_TNC | 01JAN2010 * |
| End Date | END_DT | XL_End_Dt.EXCEL_TNC | 30MAR2010 * |
| Main Site or Org Rate | Hourly_RT | XL_Labor_Rt.EXCEL_TNC | 150.000 * |
| | | | |
| *Scope Parameters | | | |
| *Type of project | Proj_Type | MS | |
| *LVC | LVC | CA | |
| Number of Systems | Sys_N | XL_Systems_N.EXCEL_TNC | 1.000 * |
| Number of MOEs | MOE_N | XL_MOE_N.EXCEL_TNC | 4.000 * |
| Number of Sites | Sites_N | XL_Sites_N.EXCEL_TNC | 2.000 * |
| Analogous Project Code | Ana_Proj | A1 | 6.000 * |
| Database | DB | Database1 | 1.000 * |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| *Reuse Grades | | | |
| Modeling | Mod_RU | XL_Model_ReF.EXCEL_TNC | 2.000 * |
| Tool Development | Tool_RU | XL_Tool_ReF.EXCEL_TNC | 1.000 * |
| Tool Integration | Int_RU | XL_Int_ReF.EXCEL_TNC | 2.000 * |
| Environment | Env_RU | XL_Env_ReF.EXCEL_TNC | 4.000 * |
| Programmatics | Prog_RU | XL_PM_ReF.EXCEL_TNC | 3.000 * |
| Data Collection | Data_RU | XL_Data_ReF.EXCEL_TNC | 5.000 * |
| Analycic Paculte | Ana RH | YI Analysis RaF FYCFI TNC | 1 000 * |

- Equation calls Excel-Ace Template Parameters (EXCEL_TNC)
- Labor Rates stored in model

| \setminus | WBS/CES Description | Unique ID | Equation / Throughput | Point Estimate |
|-------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | *Global inputs | | | |
| | *Labor Rates Updated Ar | | | |
| | Avge Hourly Rate | Average_RT | 140 | 140.000 * |
| | BR&T | BRT_RT | 200 | 200.000 * |
| | St Louis | StL_RT | 190 | 190.000 * |
| | California | CA_RT | 180 | 180.000 * |
| | Philly | Phil_RT | 170 | 170.000 * |
| | Arizona | AZ_RT | 160 | 160.000 * |
| | Washington State | Wa_RT | 150 | 150.000 * |
| | Kanada | | 70 | 70 000 * |

ACE Model Results

Boeing Defense, Space & Security | Phantom Works



 POST customized reports generation in progress

- Current results limited to
 - Estimate
 - Schedule
 - Reuse Savings/penalities

ACE Model Lookup Tables

| WBS/CES Description | Unique ID | Equation / Throughput | Point Estimate |
|---------------------|-----------|------------------------------|----------------|
| *Factor Lookup | | | |
| Modeling | Mod_F | MatVal(@FactorM, 1, Mod_RU) | 0.250 * |
| Tool Development | Tool_F | MatVal(@FactorM, 2, Tool_RU) | 1.000 * |
| Tool Integration | Int_F | MatVal(@FactorM, 3, Int_RU) | 0.400 * |
| Environment | Env_F | MatVal(@FactorM, 4, Env_RU) | 1.250 * |
| Programmatics | Prog_F | MatVal(@FactorM, 5, Prog_RU) | 1.000 * |
| Data Collection | Data_F | MatVal(@FactorM, 6, Data_RU) | 1.400 * |
| Analysis Results | Ana_F | MatVal(@FactorM, 7, Ana_RU) | 0.800 * |

- Reuse data determines cost savings/penalties
- Reuse adjustment factors stored in matrix format
 - A ~ Cost Savings
 - E ~ Cost Penalties

| WBS/CES Description | Unique ID | FY 2006 | FY 2007 | FY 2008 | FY 2009 | FY 2010 | F |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---|
| * ReUse Factor Matrix Headers | | Α | В | С | D | E | |
| Reuse Factors Matrix | FactorM | | | | | | |
| Modeling | | .1 | .25 | .5 | .75 | 1.1 | |
| Tool Development | | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.8 | |
| Tool Integration | | .1 | .4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | |
| Environment | | .2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.25 | 1.4 | |
| Programmatics | | .75 | .85 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | |
| Data Collection | | .8 | .9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | |
| Analysis Results | | .8 | .9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | |

Next Steps for 2010

- Collect new data
 - Update database
- Analyze additional data
 - Update cost estimating relation
- Develop Web-based User Front End